IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS **ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450** P.O. BOX 1450 COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS U. S. DEPARTMENT

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

02 1A 0004205065 JAN27 2006 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 223/4



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,388 02/28/2004		John P. Kallestad	DC1-001	4950	
7:	590 ' 01/27/2006		EXAM	INER	
Brian C. Kelly, Ltd.			KAYES, SEAN PHILLIP		
Attorney at Law 555 South Center St.		OIPE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Reno, NV 89	501	4	2841	· -	
		FEB 0 6 2006	DATE MAILED: 01/27/200	6	
		Page 1			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/789,388	KALLESTAD, JOHN P. (AM)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sean Kayes	2841			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONF	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 6 133)			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	February 2004.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers		·			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 May 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.


2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for providing undue breadth as they are single means claims. See MPEP 2164.08(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carter (US 39883.)
- 5. With respect to claim 1 Carter discloses a clock comprising means for actuating a hand to indicate day of the week (the driving means behind the movement of P1, picture I, shown in figure 1 as item C.)




- 6. With respect to claim 2 Carter discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said means for actuating said hand (P1) comprises at least one gear (see figure 1) having a rotation cycle of 7 days.
- 7. With respect to claim 3 Carter discloses a clock as described in claim 2 wherein said gear communicates with at least one other gear (gear connected to hand P2) that completes 14 revolutions in said cycle.
- 8. With respect to claim 4 Carter discloses a clock as described in claim 3 wherein said other gear actuates at least one hand (P2) to indicate hours.

Page 4


9. With respect to claim 7 Carter discloses a clock as described in claim 1 further comprising means for adjusting time indicated by said clock (a means for adjusting time indicated by a clock is implied.)

- 10. With respect to claim 8 Carter discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said adjustment means comprises at least one knob (the time can be adjusted by rotating the displayed hand about the knob P3, picture I.)
- 11. With respect to claim 9 Carter discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said adjustment means comprises at least one knob to adjust said day indicator (the hour hand, P2, is connected to the day hand, P1, and rotating the hour hand to adjust the time would in turn rotate and adjust the day hand, P1.)
- 12. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Evans (US 6359840.)
- 13. With respect to claim 1 Evans discloses a clock comprising means for actuating a hand (P11, picture II.) to indicate day of the week.



- 14. With respect to claim 2 Evans discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said means for actuating said hand comprises at least one gear having a rotation cycle of 7 days (the gear that drives P11.)
- 15. With respect to claim 3 Evans discloses a clock as described in claim 2 wherein said gear communicates with at least one other gear that completes 14 revolutions in said cycle (the gear that drives P12.)
- 16. With respect to claim 4 Evans discloses a clock as described in claim 3 wherein said other gear actuates at least one hand to indicate hours (P12.)
- 17. With respect to claim 5 Evans discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said means comprises quartz oscillation (column 1 lines 13-27.)
- 18. With respect to claim 6 Evans discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said means comprises battery power means (column 1 lines 13-27.)
- 19. With respect to claim 7 Evans discloses a clock as described in claim 1 further comprising means for adjusting time (item 36, figure 6) indicated by said clock.
- 20. With respect to claim 8 Evans discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said adjustment means comprises at least one knob (item 36 figure 6.)
- 21. With respect to claim 9 Evans discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said adjustment means comprises at least one knob (36 figure 6) to adjust said day indicator.
- 22. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kallestad (US D458566.)

- 23. With respect to claim 1 Kallestad discloses a clock comprising means for actuating a hand (P21, picture III.) to indicate day of the week.
- 24. With respect to claim 2 Kallestad discloses a clock as described in claim 1 wherein said means for actuating said hand comprises at least one gear having a rotation cycle of 7 days (the gear that drives P21.)
- 25. With respect to claim 3 Kallestad discloses a clock as described in claim 2 wherein said gear communicates with at least one other gear that completes 14 revolutions in said cycle (the gear that drives P22.)
- 26. With respect to claim 4 Kallestad discloses a clock as described in claim 3 wherein said other gear actuates at least one hand to indicate hours (P22.)



Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

27. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 28. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter (US 39883.)
- 29. With respect to claim 5 Carter discloses a clock as described in claim 1.

Carter does not disclose wherein said means comprises quartz oscillation.

Quartz oscillation means are notoriously well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine a quartz oscillation means with Carter's clock.

The suggestion or motivation for doing so is to make the clock more accurate.

30. With respect to claim 6 Carter discloses a clock as described in claim 1.

Carter does not disclose wherein said means comprises battery power means.

The use of batteries to power clocks is very well known in the art.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine a battery with Carter's clock.

The suggestion or motivation for doing so would be to provide a long lasting power source so that the clock does not require regular attention in order to function.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean Kayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-8931. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Gray can be reached on (571)272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SK 1/19/06

DAVID M. GRAY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/789,388 Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination KALLESTAD, JOHN P. Examiner Sean Kayes Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-39,883	09-1863	W. W. Carter	368/28
	В	US-D458,556	06-2002	Kallestad, John P.	D10/126
	ပ	US-6,359,840	03-2002	Evans, Melville B.	368/80
	а	US-			_
	Е	US-			
-	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N				•	
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.